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### Instructions for Examiners

**Part A: Evaluation**
- Tick off the choice that best describes your evaluation of the thesis.

**Part B: Comments**
- Provide your comments for each of the topics listed.

**Part C: Recommendation for a Prize**
- Indicate your decision and complete the attached form if recommending thesis for a prize.

**Part D: Signature**
- Sign and date the form.

**Part E: Documents**
- Return necessary documents.

### Part A: Evaluation

**Criteria**

**Doctoral Thesis:** The thesis must constitute a significant contribution to knowledge, embody the results of original investigation and analysis by the student and be of such quality as to merit publication.

**Master’s Thesis:** The thesis should demonstrate that the candidate is able to work in a scholarly manner and is acquainted with the principal works published on the subject of the thesis. Insofar as it is possible, the thesis should be an original contribution.

**Verdict**

1. **The thesis is accepted for the defence.**
   - The thesis meets the evaluation criteria listed above.
   - Required changes are limited to correcting occasional grammatical or spelling errors, formatting problems or to minor redundancies or omissions (e.g., transitional paragraphs, notes).

2. **The thesis is accepted for the defence but must be revised after defence.**
   - The quality of the presentation is acceptable, and the necessary revisions will not have an impact on the defence.
   - During the defence, the jury will determine which revisions are necessary and who will be responsible for ensuring they have been completed and for approving the thesis.

3. **The thesis cannot be accepted for the defence and must undergo extensive revision before defence to ensure it meets the above criteria.**
   - There are problems with the interpretation of results or the theoretical or methodological approach, but the thesis may meet the criteria if substantial changes are made.
   - The presentation of the thesis is not acceptable (numerous grammatical or spelling errors, evidence of carelessness, etc.). In this case, the thesis is returned to the candidate to complete the required revisions, corrections and changes. The candidate must submit a revised version of the thesis to the examiners. The examiners must judge the changes satisfactory before the thesis can proceed to the defence stage.

4. **The thesis fails to meet the standards required for the degree.**
   - The work was not done in accordance with established methodology employed by the discipline.
   - The thesis does not exhibit the candidate’s capacity to meet the scholarly standards required.
   - Even with extensive revision, the thesis would not meet the standards required for the degree.
   - In this case, the candidate may be asked to withdraw from the program.

**Note:** Your feedback will have an impact on how the candidate prepares for the defence, the dean’s decision if the jury is divided and the awarding of prizes. Please be sure to complete Section B regardless of your verdict.
PART B: COMMENTS

Please provide your comments on each of the topics below in a separate document. If the thesis is accepted for the defence (see verdicts # 1 and # 2, above), your comments will be sent to the candidate and the thesis supervisor without reference to your identity. If major revisions are required before the defence (see verdict # 3, above), a signed copy of your comments will be provided to the student, the supervisor, as well as to all members of the jury and the chair of the academic unit.

→ UNDERSTANDING OF THE SUBJECT MATTER
→ CONTRIBUTION OF THE THESIS TO ADVANCING KNOWLEDGE
→ RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
→ ANALYSIS OF RESULTS AND VALUE OF CONCLUSIONS
→ ORGANIZATION, WRITING STYLE AND PRESENTATION OF MATERIAL
→ REVISIONS CONSIDERED NECESSARY IN ORDER FOR THESIS TO BE ACCEPTED
→ GENERAL COMMENTS

Your comments and explanations are extremely important and will:
- help the student prepare for the defence or make necessary revisions to the thesis (if revisions are required before the defence, a signed copy of your comments is provided to the candidate, the thesis supervisor, as well as to all members of the jury and the chair of the academic unit);
- allow the dean of FGPS to make an informed decision if the jury is divided; and
- allow the FGPS selection committee to choose recipients of prizes and medals.

PART C: RECOMMENDATION FOR A PRIZE

DO YOU RECOMMEND THIS THESIS FOR A PRIZE?
☐ YES – Please complete the attached NOMINATION FOR THESIS PRIZE form.
☐ NO

The absence of a reply will be considered a NO.

PART D: SIGNATURE

DATE _________________________ SIGNATURE __________________________________________________________________________________________

PART E: DOCUMENTS

DOCTORAL THESIS
Please return all documents by email to thesis@uOttawa.ca or by fax to 613-562-5992 and send the originals in the enclosed self-addressed envelope to:
Thesis Sector
FGPS
Hagen Hall
115 Séraphin-Marion, Room 107
Ottawa ON K1N 6N5

MASTER’S THESIS
Please return all documents by email to thesis@uOttawa.ca or by fax to 613-562-5992 and send the originals in the enclosed envelope to your academic unit.