# HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SURVEY AND 2023 REASSESSMENT 122 HENDERSON AVENUE, OTTAWA, ON



Project No.: Z2021102HZ / CCC-230252-00

Prepared for:

University of Ottawa

Prepared by:

McIntosh Perry Limited (MPL)

MPL Contact:

John Tufts, Project Manager

Hazardous Materials / Environmental Health & Safety

T: 613-836-2184 E: j.tufts@mcintoshperry.com

Date:

X date, 2023



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

REASSESSMENT SURVEY 2022I									
EXECU <sup>.</sup>	EXECUTIVE SUMMARYII								
1.0	.0 INTRODUCTION 1								
		NGS & RECOMMENDATIONS							
		Substances							
3.1		estos							
3.1		Fireproofing							
3.1	L.2	Mechanical Pipe Insulation							
3.1	1.3	Flexible Duct Connector							
3.1	L.4	Heat Shield or Heat Shield Insulation	3						
3.1	l.5	Texture Finishes	3						
3.1	L.6	Plaster	3						
3.1	L.7	Grey Sheeting	4						
3.1	L.8	Drywall Joint Compound	4						
3.1	L.9	Ceiling Tiles	4						
3.1	L.10	Vinyl Floor Tiles	4						
3.1	l.11	Vinyl Sheet Flooring Error! Bookmark not defined	d.						
3.1	L.12	Parquet Flooring	4						
3.1	1.13	Brick Mortar	4						
3.1	L.14	Concrete Block Mortar	4						
3.1	l.15	Ceramic Wall / Floor Tile Grout	4						
3.1	L.16	Transite (Asbestos Cement)	4						
3.1	L.17	Caulking	5						
3.1	L.18	Mastic	5						
3.1	L.19	Cementitious Coating	5						
3.1	L.20	Glazing	5						
3.1	L.21	Fire Doors	5						

3.1	L.22	Roofing Material	5
3.2	Lea	d	6
3.2	2.1	Paint Finishes	6
3.2	2.2	Battery Packs	7
3.3	Mei	cury	8
3.3	3.1	Thermostat Switches	8
3.3	3.2	Fluorescent Light Tubes	8
3.3	3.3	Pressure Gauges and Float Switches	8
3.4	Silic	a	9
Other	r Haza	rdous Materials	9
3.5	Poly	rchlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	9
3.5	5.1	Light Ballasts	9
3.5	5.2	Transformers	9
3.6	Ozo	ne Depleting Substances (ODSs) and Other Halocarbon	10
3.7	Raa	ioactive Materials	10
3.8	Und	lerground and Above Ground Storage Tanks (USTs and ASTs)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.9	Мо	uld	11
3.9	9.1	Mould	11
3.9	9.2	Water Damage	11
4.0	GENE	RAL CONSIDERATIONS AND LIMITATIONS	12

Appendix A – Regulatory Requirements

Appendix B – Survey Methodology & Background Information

Appendix C – Laboratory Certificate of Analysis

Appendix D-Site Photographs

Appendix E – Asbestos Containing Materials Checklist

Appendix F – Hazardous Materials Checklist

Appendix G – Site Sampling & Location Plans



# **REASSESSMENT SURVEY 2023**

This building is unoccupied and has been since the previous reassessment in 2022. There are no changes to the quantity, condition or location of any previously identified Hazardous Building Materials, including asbestos.



# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

McIntosh Perry Limited **(MPL)** was retained by the University of Ottawa to complete a Hazardous Materials Survey for the residence building located at 122 Henderson Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario. The survey was conducted on August 25<sup>th</sup>, 2020. **The Reassessment Survey was conducted on x date, 2023.** 

The purpose of the survey was to determine the presence of building materials containing Designated Substances and other hazardous materials, as defined under the Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act. Designated Substances are eleven chemical agents prescribed under Ontario Regulation 490/09. In addition, a visual assessment was conducted for the presence of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), radioactive materials, ozone-depleting substances (ODSs), other halocarbons and mould.

Based on the assessment conducted by MPL, the following asbestos-containing materials (ACMs) were identified or suspected to be present in the building:

**Table A: Summary of Asbestos-Containing Materials Identified** 

Material Description	Friable?	Location	Type of Asbestos
Ceramic Wall/ Floor Tile Grout	-	Throughout Building	Suspected
Roofing Materials	-	Roof	Suspected

Note: Please refer to the complete report for specific details and recommendations.

All repairs or removal of ACMs must be conducted according to Ontario Regulation 278/05, Regulation respecting Asbestos on Construction Projects and in Buildings and Repair Operations - made under the Occupational Health and Safety Act. Asbestos-containing waste must also be handled and disposed of according to Ontario Regulation 347/90 as amended – made under the Environmental Protection Act. Any suspect building materials encountered that were not assessed as part of this survey should be assumed to contain asbestos until proven otherwise by analytical testing;

Sub-trades working with or in close proximity to ACMs should be informed of their presence;

Given that ACMs have been identified and will likely remain in place, an Asbestos Management Plan is required, and an ACMs inventory must be kept on site. All ACMs must be routinely inspected to ensure no damage has occurred, and the inventory must be updated once in each 12-month period and as may be required based on expected changing site conditions, abatement and/or renovation activities.

Based on the assessment conducted by MPL, the following Designated Substances and Hazardous Materials were identified or suspected to be present in the building:



Table B: Summary of Designated Substances & Hazardous Materials Identified

Material Description	Location
Lead Paint	Throughout Building
Silica	Throughout Building
Ozone Depleting Substances	Specific Equipment
Radioactive Materials	Specific Equipment

Note: Please refer to the complete report for specific details and recommendations.

Designated Substances area regulated under Ontario Regulation 490/09 — Designated Substances, made under the Ontario Health and Safety Act, which applies to controlling designated substances in the workplace.

In addition to Ontario Regulation 490/09, the following guidelines must also be adhered to when conducting work activities that involve disturbance of the materials mentioned above:

- Guideline: Lead on Construction Projects, issued April 2011 by the Occupational Health and Safety branch of the Ministry of Labour
- Guideline: Silica on Construction Projects issued April 2011 by the Occupational Health and Safety branch of the Ministry of Labour.
- Environmental Abatement Council of Canada (EACC) Lead Abatement Guidelines.

Prior to any renovations or demolition activities within the building, designated substances and hazardous materials must be decommissioned by a licensed contractor such that they are contained and not released to the environment during decommissioning as per O. Reg. 347/09- made under the Environmental Protection Act.

Any suspect building materials encountered that were not assessed as part of this survey should be assumed to contain designated substances until proven otherwise by analytical testing.

This report should be made available to contractors tendering on any renovation or demolition work. In turn, all contractors requesting tenders from subcontractors shall furnish this report to subcontractors.

This executive summary is not to be used alone. This report should be reviewed in its entirety.





X date, 2023

**University of Ottawa** 

141 Louis-Pasteur Private Ottawa, Ontario K1N 1E3

Attention: Martine Bergeron, Senior Specialist, Occupational Health and Safety

Re: 122 Henderson Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario

Hazardous Materials Survey and 2023 Reassessment

McIntosh Perry Limited Reference No. Z2021102HZ / CCC-230252-00

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Under your instructions, McIntosh Perry Limited (MPL) carried out a Hazardous Materials Survey and 2023 Reassessment at the residence building located at 122 Henderson Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario. The site is situated on the east side of King Edward Avenue between Osgoode Street and Somerset Street East. The survey of the building was conducted on August 25<sup>th</sup>, 2020. **The Reassessment Survey was conducted on x date, 2023.** 

via email: martine.bergeron@uottawa.ca

The purpose of the survey was to determine the presence of building materials containing Designated Substances and other hazardous materials, as defined under the Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act. Designated Substances are eleven chemical agents prescribed under Ontario Regulation 490/09. In addition, a visual assessment was conducted for the presence of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), radioactive materials, ozone-depleting substances (ODSs), other halocarbons and mould.

MPL completed the following,

- Visual review of the building to identify materials which could contain Designated Substances and hazardous materials;
- Bulk sampling and analysis of building materials suspected of containing asbestos (if required);
- Bulk sampling and analysis of representative paints and finishes suspected of containing lead (if required);
- Review of previously completed Hazardous Materials Survey(s) and historical building record(s); and,
- Recommendations for appropriate action where required.

# 2.0 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The subject building is a two-storey residential building. The building was constructed in 1900 and covered approximately 2,314 square feet. The subject building was observed to be constructed with a concrete and stone masonry foundation, wood frame construction with brick cladding and a flat roof. The interior walls were drywall, ceramic tiles, or brick and mortar. Throughout the subject building, ceilings were observed to be either drywall or texture coat. The floors were generally a combination of ceramic tiles, laminate, concrete, carpet, or hardwood.

# 3.0 FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

# **Designated Substances**

#### 3.1 Asbestos

#### **Findings**

A total of fourteen (14) bulk samples were collected during the survey and sent to an accredited laboratory for analysis. A summary of potential asbestos-containing samples collected along with the sample location, type and friability are presented in Table 1.

The Laboratory Certificate of Analysis for asbestos is included in Appendix C.

<u>Table 1:</u>
Asbestos Laboratory Results

Sample ID	Location	Material	Type and Content	Friability
BS 1.1	B1	Mortar	None Detected	N/A
BS 1.2	B1	Mortar	None Detected	N/A
BS 1.3	B1	Mortar	None Detected	N/A
BS 2.1	Room 105	Drywall Joint Compound	None Detected	N/A
BS 2.2	Room 106	Drywall Joint Compound	None Detected	N/A
BS 2.3	Room 204A	Drywall Joint Compound	None Detected	N/A
BS 2.4	Room 200A	Drywall Joint Compound	None Detected	N/A
BS 2.5	Room 201	Drywall Joint Compound	None Detected	N/A
BS 3.1	Room 203	Ceiling Texture Coat	None Detected	N/A
BS 3.2	Room 201	Ceiling Texture Coat	None Detected	N/A
BS 3.3	Room 202	Ceiling Texture Coat	None Detected	N/A
BS 4.1	Room 200A	Mastic (Yellow)	None Detected	N/A
BS 4.2	Room 200A	Mastic (Yellow)	None Detected	N/A
BS 4.3	Room 200A	Mastic (Yellow)	None Detected	N/A

N/A - Not Applicable

Please refer to Appendix E – Asbestos-Containing Materials Checklist for material conditions, quantities (where applicable), and recommended actions.

The following building materials (if present) were investigated for asbestos content:

#### 3.1.1 Fireproofing

No fireproofing was observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.1.2 Mechanical Pipe Insulation

# 3.1.2.1 Mechanical Pipe Straight Insulation

No mechanical pipe straight insulation was observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.1.2.2 Mechanical Piping Elbows/Fittings Insulation

No mechanical pipe elbows/fittings insulation was observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.1.2.3 Mechanical Piping Hangers Insulation

No mechanical pipe hanger insulation was observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.1.2.4 HVAC Duct Insulation

No HVAC duct insulation was observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.1.2.5 Other Mechanical Insulation

No other mechanical insulation was observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.1.3 Flexible Duct Connector

No flexible duct connectors were observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.1.4 Heat Shield or Heat Shield Insulation

No heat shield insulation was observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.1.5 Texture Finishes

A ceiling texture coat was observed throughout the subject building. The laboratory analytical results of texture coat samples collected indicate that this material does not contain asbestos.

#### 3.1.6 Plaster

No plaster was observed throughout the subject building.



#### 3.1.7 Grey Sheeting

No grey sheeting was observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.1.8 Drywall Joint Compound

Drywall joint compounds were observed throughout the subject building. The laboratory analytical results of drywall joint compound samples collected indicate that this material does not contain asbestos.

# 3.1.9 Ceiling Tiles

No ceiling tiles were observed throughout the subject building.

## 3.1.10 Vinyl Floor Tiles

Previously identified vinyl floor tiles (12"x12" – Beige) were observed throughout the subject building's laundry room in unit 2. The laboratory analytical results of the vinyl floor tile samples collected indicate that this material contains 8% Chrysotile asbestos. This material was not observed during the current investigation and is suspected to have been removed.

# 3.1.11 Vinyl Sheet Flooring

No Vinyl Sheet Flooring was observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.1.12 Parquet Flooring

No parquet flooring was observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.1.13 Brick Mortar

Brick Mortar was observed throughout the basement of the subject building. The laboratory analytical results of the brick mortar samples collected indicate that this material does not contain asbestos.

#### 3.1.14 Concrete Block Mortar

No concrete block mortar was observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.1.15 Ceramic Wall / Floor Tile Grout

No bulk samples of the ceramic wall/floor tile grout were collected to avoid damage and compromise the structure's integrity. Prior to any renovation or demolition, ceramic wall/floor tile grout should be examined and tested for asbestos content. Ceramic wall/floor tile grout should therefore be considered to contain asbestos until bulk samples and analysis until proven otherwise.

#### 3.1.16 Transite (Asbestos Cement)

No transite materials were observed throughout the subject building.



#### 3.1.17 Caulking

No caulking materials were observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.1.18 Mastic

Mastic (Yellow) was observed on the floor in the 2nd-floor hallway (Room 200A) throughout the subject building. The laboratory analytical results of the mastic samples collected indicate that this material does not contain asbestos.

#### 3.1.19 Cementitious Coating

No cementitious coating finishes were observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.1.20 *Glazing*

No glazing materials suspected of containing asbestos were observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.1.21 Fire Doors

No fire doors were observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.1.22 Roofing Material

To avoid damage and compromising the integrity of the roofing material, no bulk samples of the roofing materials were collected. Prior to removal and/or replacement, roofing materials should be examined and tested for asbestos content. Roofing materials should be considered to contain asbestos until bulk samples and analysis until proven otherwise.

#### **Recommendations**

- ACMs identified as in fair condition should be either repaired (where possible) and/or closely
  monitored for signs of further deterioration. Depending on the type of material and location, these
  materials should be scheduled for removal if there is a potential risk of exposure to workers and/or
  occupants;
- Materials identified to contain asbestos that are in good condition and do not pose a risk to workers or
  occupants can be managed in place. Prior to any renovation or demolition activities that may disturb
  the ACMs, these materials must be removed following appropriate Type 1/2/3 asbestos abatement
  work procedures as detailed in O. Reg. 278/05 and disposed of as asbestos waste under O. Reg. 347;
- Please refer to Appendix E Asbestos-Containing Materials Checklist for material conditions, quantities (where applicable), and recommended actions;
- Prior to any renovation or demolition of materials which are assumed to be asbestos-containing (suspect materials which were not sampled, i.e., roofing materials and ceramic wall/floor tile grout), these materials must either be tested for asbestos content or removed following appropriate asbestos



abatement work procedures (Type 1/2/3) as detailed in O. Reg. 278/05 and disposed of as asbestos waste under O. Reg. 347;

- All repairs or removal of ACMs must be conducted according to Ontario Regulation 278/05, Regulation respecting Asbestos on Construction Projects and in Buildings and Repair Operations made under the Occupational Health and Safety Act. Asbestos-containing waste must also be handled and disposed of according to Ontario Regulation 347/90 as amended made under the Environmental Protection Act. Any suspect building materials encountered that were not assessed as part of this survey should be assumed to contain asbestos until proven otherwise by analytical testing;
- Sub-trades working with or in close proximity to ACMs should be informed of their presence; and
- Given that ACMs have been identified and will likely remain in place, an Asbestos Management Plan is
  required, and an ACMs inventory must be kept on site. All ACMs must be routinely inspected to ensure
  no damage has occurred, and the inventory must be updated once in each 12-month period and as
  may be required based on expected changing site conditions, abatement and/or renovation activities.

#### **3.2** Lead

#### **Findings**

#### 3.2.1 Paint Finishes

A total of four (4) paint samples from the subject building were collected and analyzed for lead content. Results of bulk sampling testing, including testing previously completed by others, are summarized in Table 2, and the Laboratory Certificate of Analysis can be found in Appendix C.

<u>Table 2:</u> Lead Sampling Locations and Laboratory Results

Sample I.D.	Sample I.D. Location Material		Colour	Lead Concentration Weight by Conc. (%)			
PB1	Exterior	Deck Paint	Grey	0.034			
PB2	Exterior	Deck Paint	White	0.051			
PB3	Exterior	Wall Paint	Green	<0.0080			
PB4 Room 200A		Wall Paint White		<0.0080			
	Previously Identified Lead Paint Finishes						
Pb-01	Unit 1	Wall Paint	Beige	<0.0005			
Pb -02	Unit 1	Trim Paint	White	<0.0007			
Pb -03	Unit 2	Wall Paint	White	0.0009			
Pb -04	Exterior	Deck Paint	Grey	0.0040			
Pb -05	Exterior	Deck Paint	Blue	0.201			



Sample I.D.	Location	Material	Colour	Lead Concentration Weight by Conc. (%)
Pb -06	Exterior	Deck Paint	Green	0.0044

The paint finishes highlighted in blue in the above table was determined to contain low concentrations of lead, which are less than or equal to 0.1%. These paint finishes were observed to be in good condition, except for select areas that were observed in poor condition.

The paint finishes highlighted in pink in the above table are considered lead-containing paints or surface coatings with concentrations greater than 0.1% lead by weight. These paint finishes were in good condition except for the porch, which was observed to be in poor condition.

All remaining paints tested were below the laboratory limit of detection for lead. However, all other paints throughout the subject building that are not mentioned in this report must be considered to be lead-containing unless sampling and analysis until proven otherwise.

The Laboratory Certificate of Analysis for the paint sample is also included in Appendix C.

#### 3.2.2 Battery Packs

MPL did not identify battery packs throughout the subject building.

Lead may also be present in the following materials in the building:

- Solder used on copper domestic water lines;
- Solder used in bell fittings for cast iron pipes;
- Solder used in electrical equipment;
- Ceramic tile glaze; and
- Concrete and mortar products, etc.

#### **Recommendations**

Paints identified to contain lead that is in poor condition must be immediately repaired and/or stabilized following a minimum Type 1/2 lead abatement procedures as per OMOL "Lead on Construction Project" dated April 2011.

Detailed worker protection protocols are outlined in the OMOL Guideline "Lead on Construction Projects" dated April 2011. Generally, removing lead-based paint with the use of a chemical gel or paste or a power tool equipped with a HEPA filter is considered a Type 1 operation. Removing lead-based paint by scraping or sanding using non-powered hand tools is considered a Type 2 operation. Removing lead-based paint using abrasive blasting or power tools without a HEPA filter is considered a Type 3 operation and requires the most stringent worker protection protocols (similar to asbestos). Furthermore, high-temperature cutting or welding would also require Type 3 Operations under the Guideline for Lead on Construction Projects. If this type of work is



required, it may be prudent to chemically remove the lead paint in selected locations prior to performing any high-temperature cutting or welding.

All removed lead materials must follow the Ministry of Labour and Environmental Abatement Council of Canada (EACC) Lead Guidelines.

Please refer to Appendix F –Hazardous Materials Checklist for equipment conditions, quantities (where applicable), and recommended actions.

Precautions should be taken as required during major renovations and demolition projects to ensure that workers' exposure levels to airborne lead do not exceed 0.05 mg/m3. This can be achieved by:

- providing workers with proper training;
- o providing the workers with respiratory protection;
- o wetting the surface of the materials to prevent dust emissions; and,
- o providing workers with hygiene facilities to properly wash prior to exiting the work area.

Sub-trades working with or in close proximity to lead-based paint should be informed of its presence.

All waste material must be handled and disposed of according to the Revised Regulation of Ontario 347/90 as amended – made under the Environmental Protection Act. Lead waste generated may also be subject to the Leachate Criteria (Schedule 4) of this regulation.

# 3.3 Mercury

# **Findings**

#### 3.3.1 Thermostat Switches

No thermostats containing liquid mercury were observed throughout the subject building.

#### 3.3.2 Fluorescent Light Tubes

No fluorescent light fixtures throughout the subject building.

#### 3.3.3 Pressure Gauges and Float Switches

No pressure gauges or float switches containing liquid mercury were identified throughout the subject building.

#### **Recommendations**

Since no mercury-containing equipment was observed or suspected during the site survey, no further action is required.



#### 3.4 Silica

#### **Findings**

Silica is expected to be present in building materials such as concrete, brick, mortar and ceramic tiles throughout the structures. Free crystalline silica ( $\alpha$ -Quartz) may be a component in ceiling tiles and gypsum board. Silica (including free crystalline silica) may also be a component of concrete and brick surfaces noted in the building.

#### **Recommendations**

Please refer to Appendix F –Hazardous Materials Checklist for equipment conditions, quantities (where applicable), and recommended actions.

Precautions should be taken as required during major renovations and demolition projects on concrete (i.e. coring through concrete slabs, masonry demolition, etc.) to ensure that workers' exposure levels to airborne silica do not exceed 0.05 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

This can be achieved by:

- providing workers with proper training;
- o providing the workers with respiratory protection;
- wetting the surface of the materials to prevent dust emissions; and,
- o providing workers with facilities to properly wash prior to exiting the work area.

Any demolition work that is likely to impact silica-containing materials should be carried out under the requirement detailed in the Ontario Ministry of Labour document entitled "Guideline: Silica on Construction Projects," dated April 2011.

#### **Other Hazardous Materials**

# 3.5 Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

## **Findings**

#### 3.5.1 Light Ballasts

No light ballasts were observed throughout the subject building.

# 3.5.2 Transformers

No PCBs containing electrical transformers were observed throughout the subject building.

#### **Recommendations**

Since no PCB-containing equipment was observed or suspected to be present during the site survey, no further action is required.



# 3.6 Ozone Depleting Substances (ODSs) and Other Halocarbon

#### **Findings**

A visual assessment for equipment potentially containing ODSs and other halocarbons was conducted. Equipment such as refrigerators was observed in the subject building, which contains or is suspected of containing ODSs or other halocarbons.

#### **Recommendations**

Please refer to Appendix F – Hazardous Materials Checklist for equipment conditions, approximate quantities (where applicable), and recommended actions.

Under the management of a licensed contractor, equipment containing R-134a does not represent a significant threat to human health or the environment; however, a licensed contractor must decommission equipment such that CFCs are contained and not released to the environment during servicing or operation.

#### 3.7 Radioactive Materials

#### **Findings**

A visual assessment of the subject building was conducted to determine if any electrical components containing radioactive materials were present. Smoke detectors were observed throughout the subject building, which contained small quantities of radioactive material.

#### Recommendations

Please refer to Appendix F – Hazardous Materials Checklist for equipment conditions, quantities (where applicable), and recommended actions.

The radioactive sources in smoke alarms are sealed and contained within a metal case inside the smoke detector and must not be damaged or tampered with. These materials do not pose a hazard as long as they remain contained and properly disposed of at the time of removal or replacement.

Prior to any renovations or demolition of the building, all equipment containing radioactive materials must be decommissioned by a licensed contractor such that radioactive materials are contained and not released to the environment during decommissioning as per O.Reg. 347/09.

# 3.8 Underground and Above Ground Storage Tanks (USTs and ASTs)

# **Findings**

A visual survey of the subject building was conducted to determine if any USTs and ASTs were present. No USTs and ASTs were present throughout the subject building.

**Recommendations** 



Since no underground and/or above-ground storage tanks (USTs and ASTs) were observed or suspected to be present during the site survey, no further action is required.

## 3.9 Mould

#### **Findings**

#### 3.9.1 Mould

A visual survey of the subject building was conducted to determine if any mould was present. No mould growth was identified in any areas throughout the subject site.

#### 3.9.2 Water Damage

A visual survey of the subject building was conducted to determine if water damage was present. No water damage was identified in any areas throughout the subject site.

#### **Recommendations**

Since no suspected mould growth or water-damaged materials were observed or suspected to be present during the site survey, no further action is required.

This report should be made available to contractors tendering on any renovation or demolition work. In turn, all contractors requesting tenders from subcontractors shall furnish this report to subcontractors.



# 4.0 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS AND LIMITATIONS

The information presented in this report is based on information provided by others, direct visual observation made by personnel with **McIntosh Perry Limited (MPL)**, and the results of laboratory testing as identified herein.

It should be noted that there might be designated substances in locations not visible during our investigation. In the event such material is encountered during demolition operations in the building, this material should be tested and dealt with accordingly.

The findings detailed in this report are based upon the information available at the time of preparation of the report. No investigative method eliminates the possibility of obtaining imprecise or incomplete information. Professional judgement was exercised in gathering and analyzing the information obtained and in the formulation of our conclusions and recommendations.

MPL does not certify or warrant the environmental status of the property nor the building on the property.

Please note that the passage of time affects the information provided in the report. Environmental conditions of a site can change. Opinions relating to the site conditions are based upon information that existed at the time that the conclusions were formulated.

The client expressly agrees that it has entered into this agreement with MPL, both on its own behalf and as agent on behalf of its employees and principals.

The client expressly agrees that MPL's employees and principals shall have no personal liability to the client in respect of a claim, whether in contract, tort and/or any other cause of action in law. Accordingly, the client expressly agrees that it will bring no proceedings and take no action in any court of law against any of MPL's employees or principals in their personal capacity.

We trust that we have detailed our findings clearly and that we have satisfactorily addressed the scope of work you require at this time. In the event you wish us to review our findings with you, or require our services further in this regard, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Yours truly,

**MCINTOSH PERRY LIMITED** 

Jane Zhang, M.Sc.
Hazardous Materials, EH&S Technician
Hazardous Materials/ Environmental Health & Safety

John Tufts, B.Sc.
Project Manager
Hazardous Materials/ Environmental Health & Safety



# **APPENDIX A**

**Regulatory Requirements** 

# **REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS**

In Ontario, there is a total of eleven Designated Substances. These substances have been regulated under Ontario Regulation 490/09 — Designated Substances, made under the Ontario Health and Safety Act, which applies to controlling designated substances in the workplace.

In addition to the Ontario Regulation 490/09 noted above, the following were observed for this survey:

Guideline: Lead on Construction Projects, issued April 2011 by the Occupational Health and Safety branch of the Ministry of Labour

Guideline: Silica on Construction Projects issued in April 2011 by the Occupational Health and Safety branch of the Ministry of Labour.

The Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA), R.S.O. 1990, c.O.1, s.30 (1) specifies that: "Before beginning a project, the owner shall determine whether any Designated Substances are present at the project site and shall prepare a list of all Designated Substances that are present at the site.

Section 30 of The Act requires that the list of Designated Substances be provided to prospective contractors and subcontractors who may do work on a site and come into contact at the site with Designated Substances.

The Ministry of Labour has designated the following substances:

Acrylonitrile

 Arsenic Asbestos

Benzene

Coke Oven Emissions

Ethylene Oxide

Isocyanates

Lead

Mercury

Silica

Vinyl Chloride

Ontario Regulation 278/05 (O. Reg. 278/05), the Regulation respecting Asbestos on Construction Projects and in Buildings and Repair Operations, made under the Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA), requires owners of a building to identify asbestos-containing materials (ACMs) prior to potential disturbance of the materials.

In addition, an owner of a building is required to have an Asbestos Management Plan if ACMs (friable or nonfriable) are present in the building and are to remain in place. An inventory of ACMs must be kept on site. All ACMs must be routinely inspected to ensure no damage has occurred, and the inventory must be updated once in each 12-month period and as may be required based on expected changing site conditions, abatement and/or renovation activities. Removal of all ACMs is required prior to building demolition.

In addition to the Designated Substances, the building was also surveyed for the presence of other hazardous materials such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), radioactive materials, ozone-depleting-substances (ODSs), other halocarbons, and mould.

We understand that this survey has been conducted to comply with the regulatory requirements of Ontario Regulation 278/05.



**Survey Methodology & Background Information** 

# SURVEY METHODOLOGY

For the purpose of this survey, not all Designated Substances or suspect hazardous materials were sampled. Selective sampling was carried out only for substances that were suspected to be present or those deemed to have a likely source of origin in the survey areas.

Materials that were homogeneous in nature and/or similar in appearance to other materials tested were considered to be of similar composition. The likelihood of ACMs being present in inaccessible areas, such as above gypsum board ceilings or behind gypsum wallboards, was determined by assessing the presence of asbestos-containing systems in adjacent areas. Equipment such as boilers, motors, blowers, electrical panels, fire doors, etc., must be de-energized or disassembled to examine internal components or materials. These items should be considered to contain hazardous materials until proven otherwise.

During the survey, representative samples of suspect building materials were collected and sent to CALA accredited independent laboratory for analysis. The Laboratory Certificate of Analysis is attached in Appendix C.

Other potential hazardous materials were identified by visual observation and/or by reviewing Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) and/or safety labels where available.

# **Investigated Areas**

The survey included all accessible areas and ceiling space within 122 Henderson Avenue, as required under our scope of work. No destructive investigations were performed as part of this survey. Photographs of the areas investigated can be found in Appendix D.

The assessment was directed at the interior structure and finishes of the building. It did not consider current or past owner or occupant articles throughout the building (i.e. contents, furniture, etc.) and did not report on possible contaminants in the soil under and surrounding the building or contents of vessels, drums, etc., that may be concealed.

# **Sampling and Assessment Methodologies**

Sampling was conducted as part of this assessment. Results for asbestos and lead samples can be found in the Findings & Recommendation Section 3.0.

A historical review of previous designated substance survey reports and abatement reports were examined as part of this survey. The reports are listed as follows,

Designated Substance Report by CM3 Environmental (dated October 2017, reference # TLW 1561);

#### **Asbestos**

# **Background Information on Asbestos**

Asbestos is a generic name for a group of naturally occurring fibrous minerals. In the past, asbestos was commonly used in building materials such as insulation, fireproofing and acoustic.

or decorative panels. Although there are many types of asbestos, Ontario's three primary forms of commercial importance are chrysotile, amosite and crocidolite.

An ACM is defined by O. Reg. 278/05 as a material that contains 0.5% or more asbestos by dry weight. ACMs are placed into two general classes, "friable" and "non-friable" ACMs. Friable ACMs are dry materials that can be crumbled, pulverized and reduced to powder by hand pressure. Typical friable ACMs include acoustical or decorative texture coats, fireproofing and thermal insulation. Non-friable ACMs are much more durable as they are held together by a binder such as cement, vinyl or asphalt. Typical non-friable ACMs include floor tiles, fire blankets, roofing materials and cementitious products such as wallboards, pipes or siding.

It has been recognized that hazardous situations may exist in buildings where ACMs are found. This is especially true where asbestos fibres may become airborne due to material ageing, physical damage, water damage or air movement.

In contrast, there is little reason for concern if the asbestos is in good condition, has not been damaged and is not in a location where it is likely to be disturbed.

#### Asbestos Survey Methodology

The asbestos survey included identifying potential friable and non-friable asbestos-containing materials throughout the surveyed areas of the subject building.

The likelihood of ACMs being present in inaccessible areas, such as above gypsum wallboard ceilings and walls, was determined by assessing the presence of asbestos-containing materials in adjacent areas.

Fiberglass insulation was not submitted for analysis as it can be identified visually as non-asbestos material. Building materials suspected of containing asbestos were identified, and representative sampling and laboratory testing of these materials was conducted. The number of bulk material samples collected from a homogeneous area was under Table 1. O. Reg. 278/05 s. 3 (3) below. Building materials suspected of containing asbestos were collected using wetting techniques and hand-sampling tools.

Table 1 - O. Reg. 278/05 s. 3(3): Minimum Asbestos Bulk Material Sample Requirements

Item	Type of material	Size of the area of homogeneous material	Minimum number of bulk material samples to be collected
1.	Surfacing material, including, without limitation, material that is applied to surfaces by spraying, troweling or otherwise, such as acoustical plaster on ceilings and fireproofing materials on structural members	Less than 90 square metres  90 or more square metres but less than 450 square metres  450 or more square metres	3 5 7
2.	Thermal insulation, except as described in item 3	<u>any size</u>	. 3

3.	Thermal insulation patch	Less than 2 linear metres or 0.5	1
4.	Other material	Any size	3

Preliminary identification of the samples was made using polarized light microscopy (PLM), confirming the presence and type of asbestos caused by dispersion staining optical microscopy. This analytical procedure follows the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Test Method EPA/600/R-93/116 Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials, June 1993.

EMSL Canada Inc. (EMSL), an independent laboratory, analyzed all bulk samples for asbestos content. EMSL is an independent laboratory accredited by the National Institute of Standards and Technology/National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation (NIST/NVLAP) (Lab Code #200877-0).

Vinyl floor tiles were analyzed using the phase light microscopy (PLM) analysis method. However, given the composition of vinyl floor products, the PLM analysis method may be prone to yield false negative analytical results. Therefore, before removal or replacement, vinyl floor products previously identified as negative should undergo additional analysis by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) to confirm asbestos content, if any.

Materials identified to contain asbestos were assessed on the relative possibility of fibre release into the air due to their condition and accessibility.

#### Evaluation of ACMs Based on Condition

In evaluating an ACM's condition, the following criteria were applied:

- **Good** Material shows no signs of damage and/or is encapsulated. ACM could remain in place until eventual building demolition or major renovation.
- Fair Material shows signs of minor damage (<5% damage) or near the end of useful life.

  This includes minor shrinking, cracking, delamination and/ or other damage. The material should be monitored closely and scheduled to be repaired, encapsulated or removed.
- **Poor** Damage is greater than 5% to any ACM material and is highly recommended to be removed, repaired or encapsulated.

Note: The above evaluation criteria were also applied to other hazardous materials. Please refer to the Asbestos and Hazardous Materials Checklist in Appendix E & F for further details.

#### Lead

#### Background Information on Lead

Lead was a common additive in exterior and hard-wearing paint applications. Lead was used to prolong the paint's shelf life and increase its flexibility and durability to wear and weather. Acute exposure to lead by inhalation or ingestion may cause headaches, fatigue, nausea, abdominal cramps and joint pain. Chronic exposures can cause reduced hemoglobin production and reduced lifespan. It has also been known to impact

the body's central and peripheral nervous systems and brain function and has been linked to learning disabilities in children.

No regulatory limit in Ontario determines what lead concentration constitutes a "lead-containing material." On October 21, 2010, Health Canada, under the *Hazardous Products Act*, stated that the lead content in surface-coating materials, furniture, toys and other articles for children should not exceed 90 mg/kg (0.009%, 90 ppm). However, this is intended for importing or selling products within Canada. Therefore, this is not to be misconstrued as a limit established to define a lead-containing material or a limitation with respect to lead on construction projects.

The Environmental Abatement Council of Canada (EACC) has also developed the "Lead Guideline for Construction, Renovation, Maintenance or Repair" dated October 2014, which discusses the classification, handling, disturbance and removal of lead-containing materials. For this guideline, paints or surface coatings containing less than or equal to 0.1% lead by weight (1000 mg/kg or 1000 ppm) are considered low-level lead paints or surface coatings. If these materials (and their respective surfaces) are disturbed in a non-aggressive manner and performed using adequate dust control procedures, then worker protection from the inhalation of lead is not required.

Furthermore, paints or surface coatings containing greater than 0.1% lead by weight are considered lead-containing paints or surface coatings. If these materials (and their respective surfaces) are disturbed, appropriate lead abatement procedures must always be followed.

Exposure to lead-containing materials is regulated under Ontario Regulation 490/09, *Designated Substances* - made under the Occupational Health and Safety Act. Care must be taken to prevent lead-containing particles from becoming airborne during the disturbance of lead-containing surfaces (i.e., during renovation or demolition projects). All lead abatement work must follow procedures outlined in the <u>Guideline Lead on Construction Projects</u>, issued in September 2004 (amended in April 2011) by the Occupational Health and Safety branch of the Ministry of Labour (Type 1-3). Similarly, the lead abatement work procedures outlined in the <u>EACC Lead Guideline for Construction, Renovation, Maintenance or Repair</u> (October 2014) may also be implemented (Class 1-3).

Lead has been used in solder on copper plumbing fixtures, lead conduit pipes, lead-calcium battery plates, ammunition, and nuclear and X-ray shielding devices. However, these materials were not sampled during this investigation but were noted where applicable.

Representative bulk samples of paint and finishes suspected of containing lead were collected to verify lead content in paints. Bulk samples were scraped down to the building base structure, with all possible layers present, placed in sealed plastic bags and labelled, and then submitted to an independent laboratory for analysis. Samples were treated with a dilute nitric acid sample digestion prior to filtration. The analysis utilized for lead detection in filtered samples was inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES).

## Mercury

## **Background Information on Mercury**

Mercury is known to cause human poisoning through inhaling vapours, ingesting contaminated materials or skin absorption through direct contact with the liquid.

Precautions must be taken to prevent mercury vapours from becoming airborne during renovations or building demolition. Exposure to airborne mercury is regulated under the Revised O. Reg. 490/09 as amended – Regulation respecting Mercury – made under the Occupational Health and Safety Act; and under O. Reg. 558, which amended O. Reg. 347/90 (General - Waste Management), mercury is classified as a Schedule 2(b) Hazardous Waste Chemical. Its hazardous waste number is U151.

Mercury is found in thermostats, temperature and pressure gauges, fluorescent lamps and batteries. Mercury in products can be released to the environment through breakage or disposal at the end of a useful life. Improper disposal of these mercury products poses a health and environmental risk to everyone. In addition, the removal of mercury-containing products can create wastes that are often classified as hazardous. Wastes that leach mercury in concentrations exceeding Ontario Regulation 347/90 (General - Waste Management) limits are also considered hazardous.

The mercury in thermostats switch contains approximately 3-4 grams in a glass ampoule, typically attached to a metal coil. Mercury-containing switches have been used in thermostats for over 40 years.

Mercury is an essential component in fluorescent lamps and HID lamps. The mercury is in a vapour form, and the phosphor coating is on the lamp tube. Estimates of the mercury content contained in compact, 4-foot, and 8-foot lamps are 10 mg, 23 mg, and 46 mg, respectively.

Most fluorescent lamps qualify as hazardous waste when removed from service and are prohibited from disposal in the solid waste stream. Fluorescent lamps would be classified as 146T on your facility Generator Registration Report under O. Reg. 347/90 - General Waste Management, as amended by O. Reg. 558/00. Under this regulation, if the leachate results exceed 0.1 milligrams of mercury per litre for a given waste, the facility must treat the waste as hazardous. Most fluorescent and HID lamps will exceed the leachate toxicity limit; therefore, these wastes must be registered and treated as hazardous waste or sent for recycling.

#### Silica

#### Background Information on Silica

?

Silica is expected to be present in building materials such as concrete, brick, mortar and ceramic tiles throughout the structures. Free crystalline silica ( $\alpha$ -Quartz) may be a component in ceiling tiles and gypsum boards. Silica (including free crystalline silica) may also be a component of concrete and brick surfaces noted in the building.

Exposure to airborne silica is regulated under Ontario Regulation 490/09, *Designated Substances* - made under the Occupational Health and Safety Act.

# **Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)**

#### **Background Information on PCBs**

Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) were commonly used as a dielectric insulating fluid in electrical equipment such as transformers, capacitors, and fluorescent and HID lamp ballasts. The production of PCBs in North America started in 1929 and was banned at the beginning of 1979. After 1981, no manufacturers produced fluorescent and HID lamps with PCB-containing ballasts.

PCBs are not designated substances under the Occupational Health and Safety Act.

#### PCB Regulations (SOR/2008-273)

The *PCB Regulations* (the Regulations) set specific deadlines for ending the use of PCBs in concentrations at or above 50 mg/kg, eliminating all PCBs and equipment containing PCBs currently in storage and limiting the time PCBs can be stored before being destroyed. The Regulations also establish sound practices for the better management of the remaining PCBs in use (i.e. those with a content of less than 50 mg/kg) until their eventual elimination to prevent contamination of dielectric fluids and dispersion of PCBs in small quantities into other liquids.

# **Ozone Depleting-Substances (ODSs) and Other Halocarbons**

#### **Background Information on ODSs**

Within Ontario, the general use of ozone-depleting substances (ODSs) and other halocarbons is controlled through Regulation 463/10 of the <u>Environmental Protection Act</u>. The production of ODSs in hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs) and chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) ceased in Canada in 1993 due to their ozone-depleting characteristics. The importation of CFCs into Canada ceased in 1997, and a total ban was placed on their use in 2010. The use of these materials is still permitted in existing equipment, but equipment must be serviced by a licensed contractor such that CFCs are contained and not released to the environment during servicing or operation.

#### **Radioactive Materials**

Two types of smoke detectors are common in buildings (residential, institutional, commercial, industrial, etc). Photoelectric-type smoke detectors detect smoke using an optical sensor, whereas ionization-type smoke detectors use an ionization chamber containing radioactive material. The ionization type is cheaper and is particularly common in older buildings. A typical modern detector contains about 1.0 microcurie of the radioactive element americium, a decrease from 3 microcurie in 1978. Sealed radioactive material sources in fire detection systems are still permitted and regulated by the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission and the Canadian Nuclear Safety Act. The radioactive sources in smoke alarms are sealed and contained within a metal case inside the smoke detector and must not be damaged or tampered with.

# **Mould & Water Damage**

Mould growth inside buildings is due to excess moisture caused by leakages, condensation or capillary movement of water into the building. Toxic moulds such as *Stachybotrys chartarum* and some species of *Aspergillus* spp. are greenish-black, wet and slimy moulds that grow on soaking, wet cellulose-based materials. They are often found near water leaks or where drying is very slow and can form after flooding if insufficient cleanup and drying occur. They will generally not occur if materials are kept dry.

MPL conducted a general visual assessment for any apparent signs of visible mould and/or water damage. Based on our visual observations, the following guidelines were used in providing our recommendations for remedial action where required:

- Institute of Inspection Cleaning and Restoration Certification (IICRC) S520 Standard and Reference for Professional Mould Remediation,
- The Canadian Construction Association (CCA) Mould Guidelines for the Canadian construction industry (CCA document 82-2004)
- Environmental Abatement Council of Canada (EACC) Mould Abatement Guidelines.

# **Other Designated Substances**

Select Designated Substances (acrylonitrile, arsenic, coke oven emissions, ethylene oxide, isocyanates, benzene, or vinyl chloride) are not expected to be present in the building in the matrix or sufficient quantities to cause an exceedance of Ministry of Labour exposure guidelines. As such, no sampling was conducted for these materials.

# **Vinyl Chloride**

Vinyl chloride (monomer) is likely to be stable within poly vinyl-chloride (PVC) piping and conduits and as a component of interior finishes. Such building materials are not considered hazardous in their current matrix/composition.

# **Acrylonitrile**

Acrylonitrile or ACN (vinyl cyanide) is an explosive, flammable liquid used to manufacture acrylic fibres, rubber-like materials and pesticide fumigants. Acrylonitrile was not noted and would not be expected to be present in the project-specific area/surveyed area/subject building.

#### **Arsenic**

Arsenic is used in metallurgy for hardening copper, lead and alloys, in pigment production, in the manufacture of certain types of glass, in insecticides, fungicides and rodenticides, as a by-product in the smelting of copper ores, and as a dopant material in semiconductor manufacturing. Arsenic or arsenic compounds were not noted nor expected to be present in the project-specific area/surveyed area/subject building.

#### Benzene

Benzene or benzol is a colourless liquid. It is used as an intermediate in producing styrene, phenol, cyclohexane, and other organic chemicals and in manufacturing detergents, pesticides, solvents, and paint removers. It is also found in gasoline. Benzene may be stable in roofing materials, paints and adhesives throughout the subject building. Such building materials are not considered hazardous in their current matrix/composition.

#### **Coke Oven Emissions**

Coke oven emission is a benzene-soluble fraction of the total particulate matter of the substances emitted into the atmosphere from metallurgical coke ovens.

# **Ethylene Oxides**

Ethylene oxide is a colourless gas liquefying below 12°C. It is generally used as a fumigant and sterilizing agent for medical equipment. It is generally used as a fumigant and sterilizing agent for medical equipment.

### **Isocyanates**

Isocyanate compounds may be present to stabilize paint finishes, varnishes, polyurethane plastics, synthetic rubbers, foams and adhesives. Such building materials are not considered hazardous in their current matrix/composition.

To reduce the potential for exposure to workers or occupants, any suspect hazardous building material(s) not detailed within this survey due to inaccessibility and/or discovered during renovation/demolition activities must be appropriately assessed and/or tested prior to their disturbance.

# **APPENDIX C**

**Laboratory Analytical Reports** 



22 Antares Drive Suite 102 Ottawa, ON K2E 7Z6 Phone/Fax: (343) 882-6076 / (343) 882-6077 http://www.EMSL.com / ottawalab@EMSL.com

EMSL Canada Order 672001461 55CTCS25B Customer ID: 0Z2-021101 Customer PO: Ottawa DSS Project ID:

Lab Sample ID:

672001461-0003

Attn: Stefan Holik

McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd

115 Walgreen Rd RR 3 Carp, ON K0A 1L0

Phone:

(613) 836-2184

Fax:

Collected: 8/24/2020 Received: 8/26/2020 Analyzed:

9/02/2020

Proj: University of Ottawa 0Z2-021101 (122 Henderson) (Ottawa DSS)

# Test Report: Asbestos Analysis of Bulk Materials for Ontario Regulation 278/05 via EPA600/R-93/116 Method

Lab Sample ID: 672001461-0001 Client Sample ID:

Sample Description: 122 Henderson/Mortar - basement

Analyzed Non-Asbestos **TEST** Date Color Fibrous Non-Fibrous Asbestos Comment PLM 9/02/2020 Gray/White 100.0% Inseparable layers 0.0% None Detected 672001461-0002 Lab Sample ID: Client Sample ID: 1.2

Sample Description: 122 Henderson/Mortar - basement

Analyzed Non-Asbestos **TEST** Date Color Fibrous Non-Fibrous Asbestos Comment PLM 9/02/2020 Gray 0.0% 100.0% None Detected

Sample Description: 122 Henderson/Mortar - basement

1.3

Analyzed Non-Asbestos **TEST** Date Fibrous Non-Fibrous Color Asbestos Comment PLM 9/02/2020 Gray 0.0% 100.0% None Detected Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID: 672001461-0004

Sample Description: 122 Henderson/DJC

Client Sample ID:

Analyzed Non-Asbestos **TEST** Date Color **Fibrous** Non-Fibrous Asbestos Comment PLM 9/02/2020 White 0.0% 100.0% None Detected

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID: 672001461-0005 2.2

Sample Description: 122 Henderson/DJC

Non-Asbestos Analyzed **TEST** Date Fibrous Non-Fibrous Color Asbestos Comment PLM 9/02/2020 White 0.0% 100.0% None Detected

2.3 Lab Sample ID: 672001461-0006 Client Sample ID:

Sample Description: 122 Henderson/DJC

Analyzed Non-Asbestos **TEST** Date Color **Fibrous** Non-Fibrous **Asbestos** Comment PLM 9/02/2020 White 0.0% 100.0% None Detected 672001461-0007 Lab Sample ID: Client Sample ID:

Sample Description: 122 Henderson/DJC

Analyzed Non-Asbestos **TEST** Date Fibrous Non-Fibrous Comment Color **Asbestos** PLM 9/02/2020 White 0.0% 100.0% None Detected



22 Antares Drive Suite 102 Ottawa, ON K2E 7Z6 Phone/Fax: (343) 882-6076 / (343) 882-6077 http://www.EMSL.com / ottawalab@EMSL.com EMSL Canada Order 672001461
Customer ID: 55CTCS25B
Customer PO: 0Z2-021101
Project ID: Ottawa DSS

# Test Report: Asbestos Analysis of Bulk Materials for Ontario Regulation 278/05 via EPA600/R-93/116 Method

					<del></del>		
Client Sample ID: 2.5						Lab Sample ID:	672001461-0008
Sample Description: 122	2 Henderson/DJC						
	Analyzed		Non	Asbestos			
TEST	Date	Color		Non-Fibrous	Asbestos	Comment	
PLM	9/02/2020	White	0.0%	100.0%	None Detected		
Client Sample ID: 3.1						Lab Sample ID:	672001461-0009
	2 Henderson/Ceiling text	uro coat white n	oncorn (hathrod	m third floor)		zas campio is:	0.200.101.000
122	2 Henderson/Ceiling text	ure coat - write p	орсоні (рашнос	mi - uma noor)			
	Analyzed		Non-	Asbestos			
TEST	Date	Color	Fibrous	Non-Fibrous	Asbestos	Comment	
PLM	9/02/2020	White	0.0%	100.0%	None Detected		
Client Sample ID: 3.2						Lab Sample ID:	672001461-0010
Sample Description: 122	2 Henderson/Ceiling text	ure coat - white p	opcorn (living ro	oom - second floor)			
	Analyzed			Asbestos			
TEST	Date	Color		Non-Fibrous	Asbestos	Comment	
PLM	9/02/2020	White	0.0%	100.0%	None Detected		
Client Sample ID: 3.3						Lab Sample ID:	672001461-0011
Sample Description: 122	2 Henderson/Ceiling text	ure coat - white p	opcorn (Room A	A - second floor)			
TF0T	Analyzed	0.1		Asbestos		0	
TEST PLM	9/02/2020	Color White	0.0%	Non-Fibrous 100.0%	Asbestos None Detected	Comment	
	9/02/2020	vviile	0.0%	100.0%	None Detected		
Client Sample ID: 4.1						Lab Sample ID:	672001461-0012
Sample Description: 122	2 Henderson/Yellow mas	tic - second floor	hallway				
	Analyzed		Non	Asbestos			
TEST	Date	Color		Non-Fibrous	Asbestos	Comment	
PLM	9/02/2020	Yellow	0.0%	100.0%	None Detected		
Client Sample ID: 4.2						Lab Sample ID:	672001461-0013
	2 Henderson/Yellow mas	tic - second floor	hallway				2.2000.0010
12/	L Honderson/Tellow (IIdS	3600HU HOOF	naliway				
	Analyzed		Non-	Asbestos			
TEST	Date	Color	Fibrous	Non-Fibrous	Asbestos	Comment	
PLM	9/02/2020	Yellow	0.0%	100.0%	None Detected		
Client Sample ID: 4.3						Lab Sample ID:	672001461-0014
	2 Henderson/Yellow mas	tic - second floor	hallway				
,			- 3				
	Analyzed		Non-	Asbestos			

Fibrous Non-Fibrous

100.0%

0.0%

Date

9/02/2020

Color

Yellow

TEST

PLM

Comment

Asbestos

None Detected



22 Antares Drive Suite 102 Ottawa, ON K2E 7Z6 Phone/Fax: (343) 882-6076 / (343) 882-6077 http://www.EMSL.com / ottawalab@EMSL.com EMSL Canada Order 672001461
Customer ID: 55CTCS25B
Customer PO: 0Z2-021101
Project ID: Ottawa DSS

Test Report: Asbestos Analysis of Bulk Materials for Ontario Regulation 278/05 via EPA600/R-93/116 Method

Analyst(s):		
Ewa Krupinska	PLM (4)	
Simon Parent	PLM (10)	
Deviewed and approved b		
Reviewed and approved by	y:	1 mest

Simon Parent, Laboratory Manager or Other Approved Signatory

None Detected = <0.1%. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted. This report must not be used to claim product endorsement by NVLAP of any agency or the U.S. Government

Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Ottawa, ON

Initial report from: 09/02/202011:54:34

A .. - I. . - 4/ - \ -



2756 Slough Street, Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3

(289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607

http://www.EMSL.com torontolab@emsl.com

Attn: Stefan Holik **McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd** 115 Walgreen Rd RR 3 Carp, ON K0A 1L0

Phone: (613) 836-2184

Fax:

Received: 8/27/2020 11:19 AM

EMSL Canada Or

CustomerID:

CustomerPO:

ProjectID:

552010598

55CTCS25B

0Z2-021101

Ottawa DSS

Collected:

Project: University of Ottawa 0Z2-021101 "Ottawa DSS - 122 Henderson

# Test Report: Lead in Paint Chips by Flame AAS (SW 846 3050B/7000B)\*

Client SampleDescription	Collected Analyzed	Weight	RDL	Lead Concentration
PB1	8/31/2020	0.2442 g	0.0082 % wt	0.034 % wt
552010598-0001	Site: 122 Henderson - Grey Floor - Deck			
PB2	8/31/2020	0.2475 g	0.0081 % wt	0.051 % wt
552010598-0002	Site: 122 Henderson - White Floor - Deck			
PB3	8/31/2020	0.2492 g	0.0080 % wt	<0.0080 % wt
552010598-0003	Site: 122 Henderson - Green Exterior Wall	-		
PB4	8/31/2020	0.2522 g	0.0080 % wt	<0.0080 % wt
552010598-0004	Site: 122 Henderson - White Wall - Hallway - Second Floor	· ·		

Rowena Fanto, Lead Supervisor or other approved signatory

EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted.

Analysis following Lead in Paint by EMSL SOP/Determination of Environmental Lead by FLAA. Reporting limit is 0.008% wt based on the minimum sample weight per our SOP. "<" (less than) result signifies the analyte was not detected at or above the reporting limit. Measurement of uncertainty is available upon request. Definitions of modifications are available upon request. Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA-LAP, LLC - ELLAP #196142

Initial report from 09/03/2020 09:05:57

# **APPENDIX D**

**Site Photographs** 



View of typical finishes observed at the building located at 122 Henderson Avenue.



Photo 2: View of typical finishes observed at the building located at 122 Henderson Avenue.



View of typical finishes observed at the building located at 122 Henderson Avenue.



Photo 4: View of non-asbestoscontaining ceiling texture coat observed in the 2nd-floor bathroom.



Photo 5: Typical view of ceramic wall/floor tile grout suspected of containing asbestos.



View of leadcontaining grey and green paint observed to be in poor condition.on the front deck.





Photo 7: View of leadcontaining white paint observed to be in poor condition on the front deck.



**Photo 8:** Typical view of smoke detectors containing radioactive materials.

#### **APPENDIX E**

**Asbestos-Containing Materials Checklists** 

Floor/Level	Room	Type of ACM	Description	Asbestos Confirmed/ Suspected	Friable/Non-Friable	Damaged/ Deteriorated	Accessibility	Level of Work Near Material	Approx. Quantity	Unit	Recommended Action	Estimated Abatement Cost	Comments
Ext.	Roof	Roofing Materials	-	Suspected	-	Good Condition	Difficult	Low	-	-	Manage in Place		
All	Throughout Subject Building	Ceramic Wall Tile Grout	Grey	Suspected	-	Good Condition	Easy	Low	-	-	Manage in Place		
All	Throughout Subject Building	Ceramic Floor Tile Grout	Grey	Suspected	-	Good Condition	Easy	Low	-	=	Manage in Place		



### **APPENDIX F**

**Hazardous Containing Materials Checklists** 


Floor/Level	Location	DS Type	Component	Colour	Condition	Manufacturer	Quantity #	Unit	Suspected/ Confirmed	Recommended Action	Estimated Abatement Cost	Comments
1	Exterior	Lead	Deck Paint	Grey	Poor Condition	-	6	SF	Confirmed	Paint must be removed and/or stabilized following Class 1/2 or Type 1/2 lead Procedures as per MOL and EACO Guidelines.	\$600.00	
1	Exterior	Lead	Deck Paint	White	Poor Condition	-	15	SF	Confirmed	Paint must be removed and/or stabilized following Class 1/2 or Type 1/2 lead Procedures as per MOL and EACO Guidelines.	\$1,200.00	
1	Exterior	Lead	Deck Paint	Grey	Good Condition	-	-	ı	Confirmed	Manage in Place		
1	Exterior	Lead	Deck Paint	Blue	Good Condition	-	-	-	Confirmed	Manage in Place		
1	Exterior	Lead	Wall Paint	Green	Poor Condition	-	2	SF	Confirmed	Paint must be removed and/or stabilized following Class 1/2 or Type 1/2 lead Procedures as per MOL and EACO Guidelines.	\$600.00	
1	Exterior	Lead	Wall Paint	Green	Good Condition	-	-	-	Confirmed	Manage in Place		
1	104	Ozone Depleting Substances (ODS)	Refrigerator	N/A	Good Condition	Whirpool	-	-	Confirmed	Manage in Place		
2	Throughout Floor	Lead	Wall Paint	White	Good Condition	-	-	ı	Confirmed	Manage in Place		
2	201A	Ozone Depleting Substances (ODS)	Refrigerator	N/A	Good Condition	Whirpool	-	-	Confirmed	Manage in Place		
All	Throughout Subject Building	Radioactive Material	Smoke Detector	N/A	Good Condition	N/A	-	-	Confirmed	Manage in Place		Refrigernat R134a
All	Throughout Subject Building	Silica	Concrete, Mortar, Etc.	N/A	Good Condition	N/A	-	-	Confirmed	Manage in Place		





#### **APPENDIX G**

**Site Sampling & Location Plans** 



## McINTOSH PERRY

6240 HIGHWAY 7 SUITE 200 WOODBRIDGE ON L4H 4G3
Tel: 905.856.5200 Fax: 905.695.0221
Toll Free: 1.888.348.8991 www.mcintoshperry.com

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS, REPORT ALL ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE CONSULTANTS, PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH ANY WORKS.

#### Legend:

▲ Asbestos Bulk Sample
□Lead Paint Sample < LOD
■Lead Paint Sample > LOD

NOTES:

CLIENT:	UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA	

#### SAMPLE LOCATIONS BASEMENT

21 REV. NO. DESCRIPTION DATE BY APPO

PROJECT: 122 HENDERSON
DESIGNATED SUBSTANCE SURVEY
DRAWN:


DRAWN:

K.B.

DATE:
FEBRUARY 22, 2021

CHECKED:
J.T.

DRAWING NUMBER: A0



### McINTOSH PERRY

6240 HIGHWAY 7 SUITE 200 WOODBRIDGE ON L4H 4G3
Tel: 905.856.5200 Fax: 905.695.0221
Toll Free: 1.888.348.8991 www.mcintoshperry.com


THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS, REPORT ALL ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE CONSULTANTS, PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH ANY WORKS.

#### Legend:

▲ Asbestos Bulk Sample
□Lead Paint Sample < LOD
■Lead Paint Sample > LOD

NOTES:

UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA	SAMPLE LOCATIONS GROUND FLOOR						
PROJECT: 122 HENDERSON	SCALE:	1:100	DATE: FEBRUARY 22, 2021				
DESIGNATED SUBSTANCE SURVEY		К.В.	CHECKED: J.T.	DRAWIN NUMBEI	 DATE	RE\	APPD.



### McINTOSH PERRY

6240 HIGHWAY 7 SUITE 200 WOODBRIDGE ON L4H 4G3
Tel: 905.856.5200 Fax: 905.695.0221
Toll Free: 1.888.348.8991 www.mcintoshperry.com


THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS, REPORT ALL ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE CONSULTANTS, PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH ANY WORKS.

#### Legend:

▲ Asbestos Bulk Sample
□Lead Paint Sample < LOD
■Lead Paint Sample > LOD

NOTES:

CLIENT: UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA	SAMPLE LOCATIONS SECOND FLOOR						
122 HENDERSON	SCALE: I:100	DATE: FEBRUARY 22, 2021	REV. NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	BY	APPD.
DESIGNATED SUBSTANCE SURVEY	DRAWN: K.B.	CHECKED: J.T.	DRAWI NUMBE			REV	1.:



# 

Tel: 905.856.5200 Fax: 905.695.0221 Toll Free: 1.888.348.8991 www.mcintoshperry.com

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS, REPORT ALL ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE CONSULTANTS, PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH ANY WORKS.

■Lead Paint Sample >LOD

NOTES:

UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA	SAMPLE LOCATIONS ROOF						
PROJECT: 122 HENDERSON	SCALE: I:100	DATE: FEBRUARY 22, 2021	REV. NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	BY	APPD.
DESIGNATED SUBSTANCE SURVEY	DRAWN: K.B.	CHECKED: J.T.	DRAWII NUMBE			REV	V.: