University of Ottawa Library OER Grant 2024 – Evaluation Rubric – OER Adaptation (up to $6,000)

Project Title: **Evaluator:**

CRITERIA	SCORE				COMMENTS
Project description and clarity of proposal	Description is difficult to read and/or understand. Proposal shows little evidence of preparedness.	Description is vague and underdeveloped. Proposal shows limited evidence of preparedness.	Description is coherent with some room for improvement. Proposal shows moderate evidence of preparedness.	Description is clear and coherent. Proposal shows substantial evidence of preparedness, including an outline of the resulting OER content.	
	0	1	2	3	
Project significance and impact on students	Project's significance and impact are unclear or not addressed.	Project meets few of these criteria: -suggests a significant impact on student learning and success (i.e., savings, representation, opportunity for co-creation) -will affect substantial number of uOttawa students -is on a subject for which affordable alternatives are lacking.	Project meets some of these criteria: -suggests a significant impact on student learning and success (i.e., savings, representation, opportunity for co-creation) -will affect substantial number of uOttawa students -is on a subject for which affordable alternatives are lacking.	Project meets most of these criteria: -suggests a significant impact on student learning and success (i.e., savings, representation, opportunity for co-creation) -will affect substantial number of uOttawa students -is on a subject for which affordable alternatives are lacking.	
	0	1	2	3	

Project timeline	Timeline is not provided or is unachievable.	Timeline is lacking in detail and does not account for any contingencies.	Timeline is basic and accounts for limited contingencies.	Timeline is clearly presented and fully accounts for contingencies.	
	0	1	2	3	
Project budget	Budget is not provided or covers out of scope expenses.	Budget is not itemized and is not supported with quotes for services or rates of remuneration.	Budget is basic and is partly supported by quotes for services or rates of remuneration.	Budget is clearly presented with itemized expenses and supported with quotes for services and accurate rates for remuneration.	
	0	1	2	3	
Project feasibility and scope	Proposal's scope is unrealistic. Project is deemed unfeasible.	Proposal presents a plan with minimal and/or disorganized details. Project presents significant challenges that need to be addressed to ensure feasibility.	Proposal presents a basic plan. Project presents some potential challenges that should be addressed to ensure feasibility.	Proposal presents a detailed and realistic plan. Project is feasible, with proper expertise accounted for.	
	0	1	2	3	
Preliminary search of existing OER	Preliminary search was not conducted, and evidence of need for a new OER is not demonstrated.	Preliminary search was conducted but does not show a need for a new OER.	Preliminary search highlights similar OER but shows a need or gap in the subject matter.	Preliminary search shows clear evidence of need for a new OER.	
	0	1	2	3	

Understanding of open principles	Proposal does not demonstrate an understanding of open principles.	Proposal demonstrates a limited understanding of open principles by alluding to: - appropriate licence for the resulting OER -hosting platform that is open -use of openly licensed resources (as opposed to copyright-protected content for which permission has not been obtained already).	Proposal demonstrates a certain understanding of open principles by partially addressing: - appropriate licence for the resulting OER -hosting platform that is open -use of openly licensed resources (as opposed to copyright-protected content for which permission has not been obtained already).	Proposal demonstrates a clear understanding of open principles by fully addressing: - appropriate licence for the resulting OER -hosting platform that is open -use of openly licensed resources (as opposed to copyright-protected content for which permission has not been obtained already).	
	0	1	2	3	
Applicant consulted with the Open Education Librarian before submitting this proposal	No	Yes			
	0	1			
Language(s) of proposed OER		English only	French and English	French only	
		1	2	3	
				Total:	**/ 25**